## High-Speed Networking Mechanisms

## Perpetual Challenges & Opportunities

#### Patrick Crowley

pcrowley@wustl.edu
www.arl.wustl.edu/~pcrowley

Department of Computer Science & Engineering



St. Louis



"Gateway to the West"
Population: ~3 million
Diverse economy: IT, engineering, plant & life sciences, manufacturing, aerospace, healthcare



#### Washington University (www.wustl.edu)



- Private research & teaching university
- 10,000 students (4,000/6,000 undergrads/grads)
- 10,000 faculty and staff
- Research focus: >\$1B per year, 23 Nobel prize winners
- Washington U. Med School, among the best in the world
- Very strong research activity in CS & Networking

## CSE at Washington University

- Research activities in many areas
  - » Computer architecture and design
  - » Networking and communications, incl. wireless
  - » Software systems
  - » Real-time systems, sensor networks
  - » Robotics, AI, Computer Graphics, Vision, Planning
  - » Human-Computer Interfaces
  - » Optimization
- Entrepreneurial Spirit
  - » Students and faculty frequently create new start-ups

Strong Partnerships with Industry

» Many students/faculty at Google, Intel, Cisco, Microsoft,...

## High-Speed Networking Mechanisms

- IP Lookup
- Classification
- Flow Mgmt
- Reassembly

Data Structures & Algorithms

- String match
- Pattern matchDPI



Applications

Systems

## **Ongoing Importance**

- Perpetual Motivation #1
   » Larger networks, faster links
- Perpetual Motivation #2
  - » Networking mechanisms often arise as quick fixes
  - » Redress architectural flaws in prototypes (firewalls)
  - » Meet unanticipated needs (load balancing)

## Plan

#### Introduction

- Sample Mechanism
  - » High-Speed Regular Expression Evaluation

## Experimental Environment & Testbed » Open Network Laboratory

Sample Experiments
 » ISP-managed P2P
 » Passive Network Analyzer

#### Conclusion

## Sample Mechanism

- High-Speed Regular Expression Evaluation
- This is the work of my recently graduated student Michela Becchi



## Context

#### Network intrusion detection and prevention systems



- Intrusion detection and prevention
- Email monitoring
- Content based routing
- Application level prioritizing and filtering

## Challenges

#### Networking context

- » Line rate operation (several Gbps)
- » Parallel search over data-sets consisting of hundreds or thousands of patterns



- Bound per-character processing
- Pre-computed large data structures
- » On memory-centric architectures



## Challenges (cont'd)

#### Snort rule-set, November 2007 snapshot

#### »8536 rules

- 5549 Perl Compatible Regular Expressions
- 99% with character ranges
  16.3 % with dot-star terms
  44 % with counting constraints
  6% with back-references
  mi.{2,3}ela mi.{2,3}ela
  mi(ch|k)ela bec\1i
- Note:
  - Lazy/greedy quantifiers
  - Positive/negative lookahead
  - Atomic groups
  - ...

- No expressive power added
- Speed up text-based engines

#### Deterministic vs. Non-Deterministic FA

RegEx: (1) a+bc (2) bcd+ (3) cde



## Counting constraints – NFA

E.g: a.{n}bc



Memory size

» For large *n*, number of states  $N_{NFA}$  *linear* in *n* 

#### Memory bandwidth

- » Input text:  $aaaaaaaaaaaaabc \Rightarrow n$  states active in parallel
- » For large  $n \sim N_{NFA}$  memory accesses/input character

а

## Counting constraints - DFA

E.g: a.{n}bc



#### Memory size

- » For large n, number of states D<sub>NFA</sub> exponential in n
- » For large *n DFA practically infeasible* 
  - e.g.  $n=40 \rightarrow \sim 1000$  billion states

## **Counting-NFAs**



- Advantage: Limited size (*independent of n*)
  Functional equivalence: is one counter enough?
  - » E.g.: *a.{3}bc*:
    - text: *a<u>xay</u>bcz*
    - text: axaybzbc

- ⇒ match is detected
   ⇒ match is missed!
- ➡ Multiple (up to n) counter instances necessary

$$0 \xrightarrow{a} 0, 1 \xrightarrow{x} 0, 2(c_1 = 1) \xrightarrow{a} 0, 1, 2(c_1 = 2) \xrightarrow{y} 0, 2(c_1 = 3, c_2 = 1) \xrightarrow{b} 0, 2, 3(c_2 = 2) \xrightarrow{z} 0, 2(c_2 = 3) \xrightarrow{b} (0, 3) \xrightarrow{c} (0, 4)$$

n active counter instances 
unmodified memory bandwidth requirement!

#### Counting-NFAs: limiting memory bandwidth

#### E.g: a.{n}bc



- Observation:
  - » Counter instances updated in parallel
  - » Difference between  $c_i$  and  $c_i$  constant over time

#### Idea:

» <u>Differential representation</u>: store oldest (and largest) instance  $c_i$  and, for j > i,  $\Delta c_i = c_i - c_{i-1}$ 

7

2

2



n = 10

- - $-cnt=n: c_i'=n$
  - $cnt \neq n$ :  $c_i' \neq n$  OR another instances  $c_i$  exists
- Advantage:
  - » Even if *n* instances are active, only 2 must be gueried/updated

#### **Counting-DFAs**

E.g: a.{n}bc



- Extended NFA-DFA transformation
  - » Counting states
  - » Instantiating transitions
  - » Conditional transitions
- Possible conditions:
  - » cnt=n:  $c_i'=n$  and  $c_i'$  is single instance
  - » cnt $\neq$ n:  $c_i' \neq n$
  - » cnt= $\perp$ :  $c_i'$ =n and another instance  $c_j$  exists

#### Consequences:

- » Limited memory bandwidth (1 state + 2 counter instances)
- » Limited size (independent of n)



#### Combining multiple regex



1<sup>st</sup> solution: regex partitioning [Brodie, ISCA'06][Yu, ANCS'06]



High parallelism and memory bandwidth: ASIC, FPGA

#### Combining multiple regex (cont'd)

2<sup>nd</sup> solution: hybrid-FA [Becchi, CoNEXT 2007]



Memory Size:

» Limited, independent of # of closures states

- Memory Bandwidth:
  - » Average:
    - » only head-DFA active
    - » one state traversal/character
  - » Worst case:
    - All tail-FAs are active
    - Bandwidth= # DFAs state traversal + 2 accesses/counters, per char

Low-Medium parallelism and memory bandwidth: GPP, small CMP

## Back-references

- Idea: a given sub-expression must be matched multiple times with the same text
- Examples
  - » *(abc|bcd).\1y* matches *a<u>bcd</u>a<u>bcd</u>y, does not match <i>a<u>bcd</u>a<u>abcy</u>*
  - » a([a-z]+)a\1y matches babacabacy

#### Observations

- The alternative in the referenced sub-expression may overlap
- The captured sub-expression may overlap w/ previous/next char
- The length of the referenced sub-expression may be variable

Memory needed

#### **GOAL:** preserve NFA-like operation:

- Find all matches/stop at the first
- Process each char once
- Allow parallel RegEx processing

## Extended-FA

#### E.g.: (abc|bcd).\1y



#### Extensions:

- Recording and conditional transitions, consuming states
- » Each state associated with a set  $\{PM_k\}$  of partial match strings

## **Extended-FA** operation

E.g.: (abc|bcd).\1y



Text: a b c e a b c y

## Matching architecture



## Results



# Experimental Environment & Testbed

- Open Network Lab
- This is the result of the combined efforts of around 20 faculty, students, and staff led primarily by Jon Turner

## **Open Network Lab**

- ONL is an Internetaccessible networking lab (onl.wustl.edu)
- Major recent expansion
  - »14 new NP-based routers
  - » staging area for SPP/GENI





## ATCA & NP-based Routers

- Development of ATCA enables use of commercial router components
- Packet Processing: Radisys ATCA-7010
  - »10 1 Gbps or 1 10 Gbps links
  - » 2 Intel IXP 2855 NPs
    - 17 programmable processor cores each
  - » 1.4 GB high-speed RDRAM, 48 MB QDR SRAM, 1 shared 18 Mb TCAM





Radisys ATCA-7010

#### **Router SW Organization**



#### Where can users insert their code?



## Sample Applications

ISP-Managed P2P

- This is the work of my current student Shakir
   James
- The full work will be published later this month at IEEE P2P 2010 in Delft



## P2P: Two Points of View

A user's point of view
 » Support many applications
 » Offer "inexpensive" scalability
 » Recover quickly from failures

#### Engineering



Image from talk "P2P: An ISP's Point of View," by Pablo Rodriguez

# An ISP's point of view » Route traffic over costly transit links » Increase broadband customers, but » Surge in traffic ≠ surge in \$\$\$



## The Problem

#### Duality of P2P

- » Cheap for content providers, **but**
- » Expensive for ISPs

#### "Cat and mouse" game

- » 1. ISPs: Install traffic-shaping devices
- » 2. P2P : Obfuscate traffic
- » 3. Repeat until...

#### No end in sight!

- » FCC forced ISPs to (temporarily) capitulate
- » Damaged relationship in long-term



## **Our Goals**

# Build a network device that Controls costs for the ISP, and Maintains good performance for end-users

## Show that ISPs can take unilateral action to » Foster a sustainable co-existence with P2P » Take the first step in fixing relationship

Two issues to resolve » Illegal content? DMCA "Safe Harbor" a la YouTube » How does P2P increase costs for ISPs?

#### **ISP** Economics Client-server economics Internet server SP Transit ISP transit **\$\$** \$\$ \$ link ISP Access client

#### **ISP** Economics P2P economics Internet peer ISP Transit transit **ISP-local \$\$ \$\$** \$\$ link peer Bob ISP ALLUS peer

#### **BitTorrent Operation**



Singling Conglinitersity in St. Louis

#### **IMP: ISP-Managed P2P**



## **IMP** Architecture



38

#### IMP: Cross-ISP traffic



Singing Inversity in St. Louis

## IMP: Download Time/Multiple clients



## Sample Applications

Passive Network Analyzer

 This is primarily the work of my current student
 Michael Schultz



#### Passive Network Analyzer: A Platform for Real-Time Network Monitoring and Analysis

- Enables the development of customized, real-time "network monitors" to measure net activity
  - » Input: mirrored traffic from switches
  - » Output: real-time data/models updated instantaneously at packet arrival rates
  - » Example monitor: track real-time flow state for all active sessions in an enterprise, log every 10 seconds

#### Pertinent technical details

- » Linux SW stack, modified OS kernel
- » Built primarily via "netfilter" API
- » Data currently logged to Amazon S3
- » Leverage due to:
  - Multilevel hash table design to track flow state
  - Efficient kernel modifications

## **Demonstration Scenario**

#### The PNA system will, in real time,

- » Detect a troublesome end-system (e.g., one that opens more than 100 sessions within 10 seconds), and
- » Install a filter to drop its subsequent packets.



## **Demonstration Scenario**

- 2 sender-receive pairs will send "normal" traffic
- I sender will open many sessions on each of the destination machines



## **Demonstration Scenario**

Demo proceeds in 3 steps



## Conclusion

#### High-speed networking mechanisms

- » Appear to have perpetual importance
- » Require more smart people to work in the area
- » Provide a rare combination of satisfying intellectual contributions with near- and long-term industry impact
- The next big mechanism (in my opinion): Names!
   » URL processing at frightening scales
   » Forwarding in Van Jacobson's Named Data Networking

#### For more information

- » www.arl.wustl.edu/~pcrowley
- » The ANCS conference: www.ancsconf.org